FECCRAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL ČOÜNŠĒL

Greg Sabine

Brockton, MA 02302 2007 SEP -5 P

2007 SEP -4 A 11: 48

Amenat 28, 2007

Office of General Course **Federal Election Commi** 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

RE: COMPLAINT FILED WITH THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

"To the Pederal Election Commission:

'TMy name is Greg Sabine. I am a registered voter.

An exticle in the Selt Lake Tribune on Priday June 29, 2007 (see attachment #1) describes an apparent violation of federal election law by the Romney for President crosspaigs and one of its top fundraisers. A copy of this article is attached.

The article states the following:

(7)
Kem Gardner, a close friend of Rosaney, sold The Salt Lake Tribune this week that he personally paid \$150,000 to charter a Jet Blue plane to fly the Utahas to Boston for Romney's second national call day -an event where volunteers worked the phones, called Stenda, family and business associates to baild up densitions for Romney's presidential bid. Asked whether the personners reimbursed him, Gardner replied no, "I just paid for it."

The exticles quotes several election law and compaign finance experts who state that this \$150,000 payment by Mr. Gerdner appears to be an illegal in-kind contribution to the Romany compaign. While there is a \$1,000 personal travel examption for individuals under federal law, this expanditure by Mr. Cordner is \$149,000 in expans of that nit (assuming he has not already used his exemption for other volunteer travel for the Ronney compaign). In any case, the personal volunteer exemption does not allow for the payment of other person's travel.

Officials of the Rossney campaign are quoted in the article as acknowledging and defunding the \$150,000 expenditure by Mr. Gardner, so the Rossney campaign has evidently knowingly received this illegal contribution. Rossney campaign officials are quoted in the article as saying that federal law allows personal payment for travel to a fundraiser, but that is only true if the individual is attending the event as a donor, not where, as here, the individuals are being transported at large expanse by a campa agent and are serving as compaign workers at the event. Nothing in federal law allows an individual to spend hundreds of thousands of his money to transport individuals to a compaign headquarters to make phone calls for a compaign, knock on doors, or otherwise provide volunteer services at only one individual's personal expense.

A Boston Globe article of January 14, 2007 (see attachment #2) details a similar "national call day" by the Rossney campaign in January of this year, In light of the fact that persons flow to Boston from around the United States for this Jenuary telephone calling program, and that Rossney officials are quoted in the Salt Lake Tribune article as approving the payment of charter flights by individuals, rather than by the compaign, to such events, the PBC should further investigate whether other i.ndividuals or corporations made other impermissible or excessive iskind contributions for travel to the June call day event OR the January event.

The above information is true to my knowledge and belief.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS PLYMOUTH COUNTY - THEN PERSONALLY COMMONWEALTH ON SWEEK THE GROWP TO THE STONE AND SWEEK THE GROWP WAS JUST FREE ACT AND DEED, BEFORE MR

WITNESSED

Notary Public CO IMAIAM S. PRIBLES AUS KAS BY CONDISSION EXPIRES

Attachment #1

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

2007 SEP -4 A 11: 49

https://www.altrib.com/ci_6258798

Did Utahn violate contribution laws by paying for Romney volunteers' flight to Boston?

By Thomas Burr
The Salt Lake Tribune
Article Last Updated: 06/29/2007 09:36:10 AM MDT

WASHINGTON - A Utah supporter of Mitt Romney's White House bid chartered a jet to fly 150 people to a Boston campaign fundraiser - a possible illegal campaign contribution, experts say.

Kem Gardner, a close friend of Romney, told The Salt Lake Tribune this week that he personally paid \$150,000 to charter a Jet Blue plane to fly the Utahns to Boston for Romney's second national call day - an event where volunteers worked the phones, called friends, family and business associates to build up donations for Romney's presidential bid.

Asked whether the passengers reimbursed him, Gardner replied no, "I just paid for it."

Federal Blection Commission rules allow a donor to travel to a fundraiser at his or her own cost, but since the people in this case were actually flying to an event and then volunteering to raise money for a campaign, a payment of their travel by a private person may violate the election rules, experts say.

"It would certainly seem the cost of the flight would constitute an in-kind contribution," says Paul Ryan, the FBC program director and associate legal counsel at the nonpartisan, nonprofit Campaign Legal Center in Washington.

Romney campaign aides vigorously dispute that any campaign laws were violated, arguing that the nature of the Boston event allowed them to fly supporters to the east coast.

Campaign finance authorities say that federal law limits the amount a person can contribute to a presidential campaign to \$2,300 and Gardner has already contributed that amount to Romney's campaign. While the volunteers could have paid their own way to get to Boston or the campaign could have footed the bill, they say Gardner cannot simply pay the tab to shuttle volunteers across the country to a campaign event.

"It's hard to see how it would not be an illegal contribution," says Anthony Corrado, a professor of government at Colby College in Maine who specializes in campaign finances.

Gardner, however, says he doesn't believe there is a problem with him paying for the flight. "They don't care how you get there," he said this week.

Benjamin Ginsberg, counsel to Romney's campaign, says there are two "very important and crucial distinctions" in this case. The law is clear that there are differences between a political event and a fundraising event and the travel arrangements and expenses of participants, he said.

"Federal election law permits donors and supporters to pay for their own transportation and lodging costs when attending out-of-town federal candidate fundraising events," Ginsberg said. "Most importantly, this travel was arranged between individuals acting on their own."

Ginsberg adds that the FEC doesn't include people traveling to campaign fundraising events as part of any in-kind contribution rules. "Federal law requires a federal campaign to pay for travel costs only if an individual is a campaign traveler who is traveling on behalf of a candidate or political committee," he said.

An e-mail obtained by The Tribune laid out the plan for the chartered flight and asked each passenger to front \$200 to "hold" a seat. It was unclear whether that money was returned, but Gardner said he paid for the flight.

Romney's campaign said other campaigns should be asked whether they believe the cost of travel for a donor to go to a fundraiser is an in-kind contribution.

A lawyer for a Republican competitor responded that, "As a standard procedure the cost of donors attending fundraising dinners, is not an in-kind contribution. However, the campaign must pay for or account for travel by persons working on behalf of the campaign."

"The 'however' argument absolutely proves my point," countered Kevin Madden, spokesman for Romney's campaign. "These contributors were attending a finance event that was clearly and explicitly a fundraising event. The law is clear and its application to this fundraising event is exact."

Ryan of the Campaign Legal Center says the campaign has a way out of the situation, by paying Gardner back for the cost of the jet charter.

The Campaign Legal Center is headed by Trevor Potter, the legal counsel for Romney's 2008 rival, Sen. John McCain, R-Ariz. But Potter is not participating in any presidential race activity or issue, according to the center.

tburr@skrib.com

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Attachment #2

2007 SEP -4 A 11: 49

http://www.boston.com/news/plobe/editorial_opinion/oped/articles/2007/01/14/mitt_be_nimble/

Mitt, be nimble

By Jeff Jacoby, Boston Globe Columnist January 14, 2007

MITT ROMNEY learning his four-year term as governor of Massachusetts with an inaugural address that pronounced state government "slow, bureaucratic, and disconnected" and declared that under his leadership there would instead be a "realignment toward the almble and inventive."

It wasn't exactly great cratery. (Romney's main example of a nimble and inventive organization was, of all things, Al Quada.) It wasn't great prophecy, either. Four years later, Massachusetts state government is pretty much the same slow, bureaucratic, and disconnected behanoth it was in 2003. It takes more than a loss Republican governor to change a political culture as drawy as the one extraorded in the overwhelmingly Democratic Massachusetts State House.

But in less than two weeks since his red-carpet walk out of the governor's office and into the 2008 presidential manuface, Romney has made it clear that when it comes to nimble and inventive manuscring, hall be the candidate to best.

Last Monday, the Romney campaign held its first major fund-raising event, a high-powered call-a-thon that pulled in \$6.5 million. It demolished not only the modern record for political donations raised in a single day, but the paradigm of what a campaign fund-raiser can be. Instead of inviting several hundred supporters to a \$1,000-a-head dinner, Romney invited several hundred political and financial all-stars — eminentoes like Governor Matt Blunt of Missouri and eBay chief executive Meg Whitman — to spend a day working their Rolodexes and dishing for dollars. Unlike a typical boiler-room operation run out of a hole-in-the-wall, Romney's "National Call Day" was staged in a giant convention center, with old and new media on hand to record all the action.

All in all, it was a remerkable display of smarts and organization. It suggested, as Boston blogger Dean Bernett put it, "that the Romney comparign, like his business conver, will be marked by innovation. . . . As he has done throughout his coreer, Mitt Romney will build a better mousetrep."

As even better text of Renney's nimbleness came just two days leter, in the form of a video anonymously posted on HYPERLINK "http://youtube.com/" 't "_new" youtube.com/. It showed clips of Renney debating Ted Kennedy during their 1994 Senate race — clips that showed how avidly Renney had portrayed himself as a social liberal when he first run for office in Massachusetts. From staunchly defending abortion rights to disavoving Renneld Reagen, Renney came across back then as anything but the unshashed conservative he is running as today.

The compaign's response was immediate, decisive — and very 21 at century. Within hours, Romany did an interview with blogosphere emission Glam Raynolds and his wife, Heles, who eshed him point-black to explain "this YouTube video from 1994 showing you as a flip-flopper." They posted Romany's asswer on Instapandit, their popular blog. In addition, a video of Romany crisply responding to the Raynoldses was soon up on the campaign's wabsits — and on YouTube as well. Whatever one thinks of Romany's political views, his campaign is setting new standards for responsiveness, servey, and speed.

But Romany knows that high-tech agility at getting out his message ultimately counts for nothing if that message is rejected by voters. As a Senate candidate in 1994, Romany was at pains to portray himself as a liberal RINO — a Republican in Name Only, smartly saluting Ros v. Wade and declaring that he would do more for gay rights than Test Kannady.

"Inhibited by a floar of being (gasp!) controversiel," I wrote at the time, Romany "is tiptoeing through his compaign, determined to emit no 'shockers' and antegonism me voters." Voters didn't buy his act, and Romany lost in a landalide — even as Republican Governor Bill Weld, running hard on an agenda of tex cuts, capital punishment, and workfire, was re elected in a calcumalit.

Remney's very public migration rightward over the lent few years is a different kind of act, one intended not to hide his real views but to liberate them. In 1994, Remney struck one as an extraordinarily bright, telested, and decent man — and a political neophyte who full for the canard that the only way a conservative could win in Massachusetts was by passing for liberal.

Thirtness years later, Rommey in where he should have been all along. Yes, it took some top-denoing and artful dodging to get from there to here, and some voters will weader which Mitt Rommey, the 1994 edition or the one on offer today, is the real deal. Can be put those doubts to reat? If he's going to win his party's numination, he'll have to.

Juff Jacoby's e-mail address is HYPERLINK "mailto;jacoby@globe.com" jacoby@globe.com. INCLUDEPICTURE "http://cache.boston.com/bonani-flos/File-Based_Inages_Resource/diagnet_story_end_icon.gif" * MERGEFORMATINET

INCLUDEFICTURE "http://ogshe.boston.com/commi-fine/File-Beard_Image_Resource/suppor.gif * MERGEFORMATINET